

maintaining the data needed, and of including suggestions for reducing	election of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar OMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Information	regarding this burden estimate mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 02 SEP 2003		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Integration into Civil Airspace Airworthiness and Safety				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) DGA/DSA/SPMT Weapon Systems Directorate Tactical Missile Programs Division France				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release, distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO See also ADM0016	otes 76, UAV 2002 Conf	erence & Exhibition	., The original do	ocument cont	ains color images.	
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	UU	10	RESPUNSIBLE PERSON	

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

• Purpose :

 to explore and propose French process and means for integrating UAV into civil airspace.

Method based on :

- first French experience with Hunter,
- experiences, flights with interim systems (SDTI, SIDM) for testing procedures, improving method, acquiring experience, knowledge and confidence,
- common civil-military analysis, modification, creation and/or implementation of air regulation text,
- technical specification for future systems



Situation :

- French UAVs (Hunter, Crecerelle) fly in restricted military areas linked by temporary restricted airways,
- flights are reglemented by followings texts:
 - ➤ Chicago convention (art 8),
 - ➤ OACI R-133-1 : distance between UAVs and other planes
 - ➤ RCA1and RCAM1 : responsibility of pilot,
 - ➤ Specific DGAC regulation (25/08/86): UAVs classification, condition for using small UAVs in civilian air space
 - ➤ Specific DIRCAM regulation n°750 for using UAVs during militaries exercises in restricted areas.



Problems and Challenge :

 restricted areas are inadequate for testing, using futures MALE, HALE systems (great endurance, long range, high altitude flights, payloads) and for training operators,

we must define with civilian authorities, test and agree on specific rules for using UAVs into civil airspace,

we must be and/or become trustful in the system (safety, security, reliability, delay)

we must define (if necessary) specific payloads assuming security constraints, redundancy, "detect and avoid" and others safety functions



Background :

- 1999: DNA and DIRCAM created a joint staff for evaluating UAVs flight condition into airspace, it proposed specific rules for restricted areas only. Analysis for the civil airspace will be done.
- Flight tests Center (CEV) elaborate specific rules allowing the flight of new UAV systems within restricted areas (safety, test and qualification).
- Hunter experiments in CEAM



Experiments :

- Interim MALE system (SIDM) could be used
 - to define and test new ATC/ATM procedures with civil and military authorities,
 - ➤ to test integration within controlled airspace with other aircraft (pilot attitude, time of response, return home procedure, flight plan....)
 - ➤ to be trustful in safety procedures, mechanism, pilot and ATC operator attitude, reliability, redundancy....,



Studies:

- Analysis Air traffic regulation text (RCA, RCAM...)
- Analysis Airspace Class characteristics, constraints, rules
- Analysis, "airprox", accidents, incidents (IFR/VFR)
- what are the responsibilities of UAV operators?
- what kind of hazards scenarii with UAV?

to propose

- operational certification and ATC procedures,
- legal text for operator responsibility, formation and training,
- safety equipment (technology, "detect and avoid function", specification, qualification)



Requirements for airworthiness and safety for MALE

- Tailoring review of JAR 23-25, OPS for MALE and HALE proves that
 - > only 40-45% of requirements are directly applicable to UAVs
 - safety system objectives must be defined
 - > JAR implies great impacts on design and safety systems
 - additional safety criteria for compliance must be defined on GCS, MMI, data link, flight termination system, software, operational and emergency procedures, ATC control
 - "detect and avoid" functions are a key point for acceptance by civilian authorities



Requirements for airworthiness and safety

Procurement services :

- need to authorise the flight of their own UAV systems
- need to demonstrate that these UAV will satisfy the same level of security than piloted air-planes
- do not actually dispose of any legal text (as JAR of FAR texts) dedicated to UAV system to do so

French position for MALE system :

- Write a "book of requirements": list of all items we will have to verify to authorise UAV flights
- Completely reuse JAR and FAR rules with no modification according to security and safety proofs
- Ease its acceptance by civilian authorities



Requirements for airworthiness and safety

Method :

- Take each requirement of JAR and FAR, DO178B.... rules
- Withdraw requirements not applicable to UAV MALE systems
- Allocate requirements upon a generic UAV MALE architecture
- Formally prove the same level of security (new MALE rules = existing JAR and FAR rules)
- Define safety specification for future MALE (design, equipment, safety equipment)

in accordance with civilian authorities

